



The Wyoming RIK Pilot: Management Briefing

April 21, 1998

Briefing Overview

- Preliminary Design of Wyoming Pilot
- Markets and Marketing
- Where do we stand now?
- Recommendations and Implementation

Preliminary Design

- **OBJECTIVE:** A realistic test of taking Royalties in Kind for onshore oil that will provide a usable understanding of the factors that determine RIK success.
 - How, when, where, and why
- **SCOPE:**
 - 4000 bbls/day -- include all crude types
 - 2 - 3 year duration
 - mandatory lessee participation on selection into pilot
 - will take delivery at the royalty meter
 - Powder River and Big Horn Basins

Preliminary Design

■ Wide range of leases/properties selected:

- large, medium and small productivity
- both pipeline and trucked production included
- diverse geographic areas within basins
- standard and low royalty rates
- single + multiple fund distribution from individual properties

■ Selection data

- 178 properties (10%), including 563 leases (20% of total)
- 63 operators (out of 266 total operators)
- 72% of ~~leases~~ trucked; 28% by pipeline

properties

Preliminary Design

■ PROJECT PHASES (tentative)

- DESIGN PHASE:

- data collection and analysis
- bidding and procurement activities
- finalize reporting and verification systems
- lessee/operator notification

- PHASE 1:

- Lease sales by competitive bidding
- October 1998 - September 1999

- PHASE 2:

- Sales by Marketing Agent
- October 1999 - September 2000 ?

- PROJECT EVALUATION

Markets and Marketing

■ Wyoming Market overview:

- 3 basic types of Crude:
 - sweet, sour, asphaltic sour
- Increased Canadian imports into region
- a regional market - little oil moves outside Rockies
- Sweet crude priced relative to local postings and Cushing spot
- sour crudes priced on local postings almost exclusively
- Marketer margins: 10 cents/bbl or less -- no excess profits to “share” with government

Markets and Marketing

■ PHASE 1 Marketing

- Lease sales by competitive bidding
- bid contracts for 6 months for all crude types (2 cycles)
- property by property bidding and entire packages
 - is package bid greater than sum of individual property bids?
- Bid basis-
 - Sweet: Platts' P+, NYMEX, Cushing WTI Spot prices
 - Sour: Average of (non-producer) postings
- Bid Analysis-
 - projected royalty in value receipts for each property
 - retain right to reject bids lower than projected receipts

Markets and Marketing

■ PHASE 2 Marketing

- Marketers to act as MMS “agents” to sell crude
- one marketer for each crude type (sweet, sour, asphaltic)
- one year contract - extend at MMS option to 2 years
- marketer costs and revenues:
 - guaranteed minimum plus percent of proceeds; *or*
 - gross proceeds less costs and negotiated fee
- How do we structure contract to avoid costly contract oversight and auditing, and marketer administrative cost?

Markets and Marketing

■ PHASE 1 vs. PHASE 2 Marketing

– Phase 1 advantages:

- Consistent with industry practice - most production sold by producer
- no transport cost, or tracing of production
- no marketer fee or procurement costs
- no rule required
- could determine “true” market value at lease

– Phase 1 disadvantages:

- loss of “potential” downstream values
- may not be better than in-value proceeds
- success depends on competition at lease, which in many cases is limited, and therefore on our ability to increase competition

Markets and Marketing

■ PHASE 1 vs. PHASE 2 Marketing

– Phase 2 advantages:

- will realize any “potential” downstream values
- better aggregation potential
- supported by industry and Wyoming

– Phase 2 disadvantages:

- administrative complexity and cost for marketer and MMS
- need to trace sales, account for transportation + other services
- rule required to implement
- marketer fees/costs could exceed any value benefits

■ Value in assessing which works best

Where do we stand?

- Wyoming has stated publicly that we should “hire” a “Qualified Marketing Agent”
 - but will withhold judgement until fully briefed on plan
- Could skip Phase 1 and start with Phase 2
 - pilot would be delayed minimum of 6 months for rulemaking
 - potential for industry to question our veracity and intent
 - potential for industry to support selection
 - potential for industry to point to rulemaking as need for legislation
- Other Options?
 - Hybrid marketing: sell at lease with downstream participation?
 - Other ideas?

Recommendations

- Value to proceeding with both Phase 1 and Phase 2
 - Implement Phase 1 and Phase 2 as outlined subject to Wyoming approval
 - Wyoming rejects, recommend proceeding directly to Phase 2, beginning April 1, 1999 (provided rule is complete)
- Other possibilities considered:
 - Concurrent lease sales and marketer sales
 - to have economic RIK quantities, would have to double pilot size
 - insufficient volume remaining for adequate “real-time” in-value benchmark
 - still requires 6 month + delay

Implementation Issues

■ Administration of ongoing pilots

- team will be working on development of GOM pilot, etc.
- need for RMP team to ensure smooth operation, reporting and collection of revenues

■ RIK Rulemaking

- to cover marketing methods, transportation + delivery issues, marketable condition, imbalances, etc.
- Is time frame too ambitious? - go final April 1999

■ Teapot Dome potential - DOE, BLM, State issues?